regarding the voluntariness of my guilty plea were based solely on the advise of my attorney. I was not fully aware of the substance of the plea agreement.

- 15. I feared for the welfare of my family and for our heavily invested family-operated businesses and was confused as to what I should do that would be in the best interest of my family.
- 16. My decision to sign the plea agreement was a result of the unwavering pressure of the people involved and the decision to sign was essentially imposed on me.
- 17. I am innocent of the crimes charged and wish to have my day in court to prove my innocence.
- 18. This affidavit has been read to me in Korean by a fully-qualified interpreter and is consistent with my intended statements made in Korean Language.

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS,

SAIPAN, MARIANA ISLANDS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

On this 1914 day of January, 2000, before me, a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, personally appeared Kwon, Soon Oh, whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, and who acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free will, act, and deed.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal,

A00023 My Commission expire the 27th day of Jan, 2010

45

3

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

UNITED STATES,

V3.

CR - 98-00044

Plaintiff,

KWON, SOON OH, MENG, YING YU, KWON, MO YOUNG,

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW **GUILTY PLEA**

Defendants.

son.

I, Meng, Ying Yu, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am one of the defendants in the above-entitled matter. I am also operating a business or Saipan along with my family.

2. Defendant Kwon, Soon Oh is my husband and Defendant Kwon, Mo Young is my husband':

3. After we were indicted on various criminal charges, I was in a state of near shock of the crimes charged against me and my family, and at the potential penalties and potential imprisonmen time, and being separated from my five-year son.

4. My husband and his son and myself were represented separately by three different attorneys

5. On October 4, 1999, I signed a plea agreement and pleaded guilty to Count One of the second revised indictment, for the charge of Conspiracy to violate Laws, i.e., involuntary servitude, extortion and transportation for immoral purposes, 18 U.S.C. § 371, which carries a maximum penalty of five (5 imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, or both.

6. Between the period of the indictment date and my signing of the plea agreement, we have several meetings with all the lawyers involved, including the government attorneys. In some cases, w A00024

6

16

17

11

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 were all placed in separate rooms and I was continually advised that pleading guilty was the appropriate thing to do. My own attorney, whom I met confidentially on only two occasions, at this time, did not advise me on the merits of the charge and on the strengths of my case. The only advised I received was to consider my family, especially my five-year old son, and what would happen to them and myself if I did not cooperate and sign a plea agreement.

- 7. Throughout the meetings with the other attorneys for my wife and my son, I had declared my innocence to the charges alleged in the indictment.
- 8. However, there was so much pressure to enter into a plea agreement because according to the attorneys, they cannot help it if I was in fact innocent and are victimized by the judicial process by being wrongly convicted. Prior to signing the plea agreement, I again declared my innocence and rejected the plea agreement but went ahead and reluctantly signed the document under pressure.
- 9. A translator was present throughout the meetings and the contents of the plea agreement were also translated. However, the pica agreement I signed was not the same document, that I had viewed and was translated, as the plea agreement document that was relied during the series of meetings. I was not completely sure about the meaning of the plea agreement nor did I fully understand that I could challenge the charges without being wrongly convicted.
- 10. I was further confused by the consultations I was receiving from the attorneys involved and from my family regarding the signing of the plea agreement. At one point during the plea negotiations, the government attorney stated that I had "no chance of winning this case" while my own attorney sat next to me silently. According to the government attorney, one of the government's witness had fainted during an interview, a strong indication that we had done some wrong to them.
- 11. I was pressured to sign the document because the government had set a deadline to accept the plea, a deadline that was extended into the evening hours so that we could be convinced to sign the plea agreement
- 12. When I appeared before the court for the plea agreement hearing, I answered the court's questions according to the advise of my attorney. My answers to the court- translated statements

A00025

regarding the voluntariness of my guilty plea were based solely on the advise of my attorney. I was no fully aware of the substance of the plea agreement. 13. I feared for the welfare of my family, especially my five-year old child, and for our heavily invested family-operated businesses and was confused as to what I should do that would be in the best interest of my family. 14. My decision to sign the plea agreement was a result of the unwavering pressure of the people involved and the decision to sign was essentially imposed on me. 15. I am innocent of the crimes charged and wish to have my day in court to prove my 8 9 innocence. 16. This affidavit has been read to me in Korean by a fully-qualified interpreter and is consistent 10 with my intended statements made in Korean Language. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS, 20 ACKNOWLEDGMENT 21 SAIPAN, MARIANA ISLANDS. 22 On this /977 day of January, 2000, before me, a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, personally appeared Meng, Ying Yu, whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, and who acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free will, act, and deed. 23 24 25 In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal 26 27 28

A00028

My Commission expires on the 27th day of Jan, 2000

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

UNITED STATES,

CR - 98-00044

Plaintiff,

VS.

KWON, SOON OH, MENG, YING YU, KWON, MO YOUNG, AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW GUILTY PLEA

Defendants.

I, Kwon, Me Young, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- I am one of the defendants in the above-entitled matter. I am also operating a business on Saipan along with my family.
 - 2. Defendant Kwon, Soon Oh, is my father and Defendant Meng, Ying Yu is his wife.
- 3. After we were indicted on various criminal charges, I was in a state of near shock of the crimes charged against me and my family, and at the potential penalties and potential imprisonment time, considering that, at the age of 26, I felt that I had so much ahead of me.
 - 4. My father and his wife and myself were represented separately by three different attorneys.
- 5. On October 4, 1999, I signed a plea agreement and pleaded guilty to Count Twenty-One of the second revised indictment, for the charge of the Mann Act, Aiding and Abetting, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2421 and 22, which carries a maximum penalty of five (5) years imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, or both.
- 6. Between the period of the indictment date and my signing of the plea agreement, we had a series of meetings with all the lawyers involved, including the government attorneys. In some cases, we were all placed in separate rooms and I was continually advised that pleading guilty was the appropriate thing to do for my family's sake. My own attorney, at this time, did not advise me on the A0002%

2

3

6 7 8

10 11 12

13

9

14 15 16

19 20

21

22 23

17

18

24 25 26

> 27 28

merits of the charge and on the strengths of my case. The only advised I received was to consider my family and what would happen to them and myself if I did not cooperate and sign a plea agreement.

- 7. Throughout the meetings with the other attorneys for my father and his wife, I had declared my innocence to the charges alleged in the indictment.
- 8. However, there was so much pressure to enter into a plea agreement because according to the attorneys, they cannot help it if I was in fact innocent and are victimized by the judicial process by being wrongly convicted. Prior to signing the plea agreement, I again declared my innocence and rejected the plea agreement but went ahead and reluctantly signed the document under pressure.
- 9. A translator was present throughout the meetings and the contents of the plea agreement were also translated. However, I was not completely sure about the meaning of the plea agreement nor did I fully understand that I could challenge the charges filed against me without being wrongly convicted.
- 10. I was further confused by the consultations I was receiving from the attorneys involved and from my family regarding the signing of the plea agreement. We had been advised by the government attorney that we had "no chance of winning this case." My own attorney did not advise on the statements made by the government attorney.
- 11. On another occasion, my own attorney stated that because we are dealing with attorneys from the federal government, and because there are anti-asian sentiments from the local community, twe didn't stand a chance in challenging the charges file against me.
- 12. Considering that I am not very familiar with the U.S. legal system, the statements made by the attorneys involved instilled fear in me and in my hopes of fighting this case.
- 13. I was pressured to sign the document because the government had set a deadline to accept the plea, a deadline that was extended into the evening hours so that we could be convinced to sign the plea agreement.
- 14. When I appeared before the court for the plea agreement hearing, I answered the court's questions according to the advise of my attorney. My answers to the court- translated statements regarding the voluntariness of my guilty plea were based solely on the advise of my attorney. I was not fully aware of the substance of the plea agreement.

28

15.	I feared	for the	welfare	of my	family	and for	our	heavily	invested	family-op	crate
hyginesses s	nd was co	nfused i	s to wha	t I shou	uld do th	at would	d be i	in the be	st interest	of my fan	uly.

- 16. My decision to sign the plea agreement was a result of the unwavering pressure of the people involved and the decision to sign was essentially imposed on me.
- 17. I am innocent of the crimes charged and wish to have my day in court to prove my innocence.
- 18. This affidavit has been read to me in Korean by a fully-qualified interpreter and is consistent with my intended statements made in Korean Language.

COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS,

SAIPAN, MARIANA ISLANDS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

On this 197 day of January, 2000, before me, a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, personally appeared Kwon, Me Young, whose name is subscribed to the foregoing Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea, and who acknowledged to me that he executed the same as his free will, act, and deed.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal.

the 27th day of Jan. 2000

COPY of Original Filed on this date

FEB 23 2000

RICHARD W. PIERCE WHITE, PIERCE, MAILMAN & NUTTING P.O. Box 5222

Saipan, MP 96950 Telephone: Facsimile:

(670) 234-6547 (670) 234-9537

Attorneys for Kwon, Mo Young

Clerk
District Court
For The Northern Marcana Islando

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

KWON SOON OH, KWON MO YOUNG, and MENG YING YU,

Defendants.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR. 98-00044

SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL

WHITE, PIERCE, MAILMAN & NUTTING SAIPAN, MARIANA ISLANDS 96950 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

In accordance with the directions of Mo Young Kwon and Ninth Circuit Rule 4-1, Joseph A. Arriola hereby substitutes as counsel for Richard W. Pierce, as attorney for Mo Yong Kwon on his appeal of the judgment of conviction entered in this case on February 18, 2000.

DATED, this February 22, 2000.

eb 24	00 03:46p Jr H. Sorensen Lauren Laure
	W 24 000 3-04-00
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JAY H. SORENSEN Attorney at Law Fourth Floor, Suite A Horlguchi Building, Garapan P. O. Box 1184 Salpan, MP 96950 Tel. No. 234-1414 Fax. No. 234-1417 Auorney for Defendant Meng Ying Yu UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS
9 10	
11 12 13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL KWON SOON OH, KWON MO YOUNG, and MENG YING YU,
15 16 17 18	Defendants. In accordance with the directions of Meng Ying Yu and Ninth Circuit Rule 4-1.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Joseph A. Arriola hereby substitutes as counsel for Jay H. Sorensen, as attorney for Meng Ying Yu on her appeal of the judgment of conviction entered in this case on February 18, 2000. Dated this 750 day of February, 2000. JAY H. SORENSEN JAY H. ARRIOLA
	A00031

Eric S. Smith Horiguchi Building Fourth Floor P.O. Box 5133 Saipan, MP 96950 Tel: 233-3334 Fax: 233-3336 FEB 25 2000 3 Attorney for Defendant Kwon, Soon Oh 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 8 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR-98-00044 Plaintiff, 12 SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL 13 KWON, SOON OH, KWON MO YOUNG, and MENG YING YU, 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 In accordance with the directions of Kwon, Soon Oh and Ninth Circuit Rule 41, Joseph A. Arriola hereby substitutes as counsel for Eric S. Smith, as attorney for Kwon, Soon 18 19 Oh on his appeal of the judgment of conviction entered in this case on February 18, 2000. 20 21 22 23 24 25

Jan 25 00 05:10p

5

7

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Jay H. Sorensen Law Offic (670) 234-1417

FAX IN
RECEIVED

JAY H. SORENSEN
Attorney at Law
Fourth Floor, Suite A
Horiguchi Building, Garapan
P. O. Box 1184
Saipan, MP 96950
Tel. No. 234-1414
Fax. No. 234-1417

COPY of Original Filed on this date

JAN 25 2000

Fax. No. 234-1417

Attorney for Defendant Meng Ying Yu

Clerk
District Court
For The Northern Mariana Islands

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR 98-00044

Plaintiff,

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

KWON SOON OH, KWON MO YOUNG, and MENG YING YU.

.

Defendants.

Date: <u>JAN. 27</u> , 2000 Time: <u>9:30 AM</u>

Jay H. Sorensen, counsel appointed by the court for Meng Ying Yu, hereby requests that Court to withdraw as counsel. This request is made pursuant to Local Rule 83.5(g), and is based on the fact that defendant has retained other counsel and has filed a motion to withdraw ber plea, and on the further ground that the statements contained in defendant's affidavit supporting her motion to withdraw the plea indicate that communication and trust between counsel and client have broken down to the point that effective assistance is no longer possible.

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 Respectfully submitted,

JAY H. SORENSEN Anomey for Meng Ying Yu

PAGE

RICHARD W. PIERCE WHITE, PIERCE, MAILMAN & NUTTING P.O. Box 5222 Saipan, MP 96950 Telephone: (6) Facsimile: (6) (670) 234-6547 (670) 234-9537

Attorneys for Mo Young Kwon

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CRIMINAL CASE NO. CR. 98-00044

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

-**v-** ′ . EWON SOON OH, EWON MO YOUNG, and MENG YING YU,

Defendants.

. 2000

Date: Time: 9:00 am Judge: Munson

WHITE, PIERCE, MALMAN & NUTTING ATDORNEYS AT LAW POST OFFICE BOX 5222 SAIFAN, MARIANA 191ANDS 969950

NOW COMES Richard W. Pierce of the law firm of White Pierce Mailman & Nutting and requests to withdraw as counsel for Mo Young Kwon. Mr. Kwon has retained other counsel to represent him, as shown by the Entry of Appearance of Joseph A. Arriola, filed with this Court on January 20, 2000. The retention of Mr. Arriola and the filing for a withdrawal of plea, coupled with the filed affidavit of Mr. Kwon, are materially inconsistent with continued representation by Richard W. Pierce. Further, professional considerations require termination of the representation.

DATED, this January 21, 2000.

Respectfully Submitted:

WHITE, PIERCE, MAILMAN & NUTTING

RICHARD W. PIERCE Attorney at Law

Moore's Federal Practice - Criminal Copyright 2005, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group.

Chapter 644 Right to and Appointment of Counsel

A SOURCES OF RIGHT TO COUNSEL

27-644 Moore's Federal Practice -- Criminal Procedure § 644.01

- § 644.01 Text of Constitutional Amendments, Rule, and Statute
- [1]-- Fifth Amendment, United States Constitution
- [2]-- Sixth Amendment, United States Constitution

Amendment VI. Jury Trial for Crimes, and Procedural Rights.

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.

[3]-- Criminal Rule 44

Rule 44. Right to and Appointment of Counsel.

- (a)—Right to Appointed Counsel. A defendant who is unable to obtain counsel is entitled to have counsel appointed to represent the defendant at every stage of the proceeding from initial appearance through appeal, unless the defendant waives this right.
- (b)--Appointment Procedure. Federal law and local court rules govern the procedure for implementing the right to counsel.
- (c)--Inquiry Into Joint Representation.
- (1)--Joint Representation. Joint representation occurs when:
- (A)-- two or more defendants have been charged jointly under Rule 8(b) or have been joined for trial under Rule 13; and
- (B)-- the defendants are represented by the same counsel, or counsel who are associated in law practice.

25

ORIGINAL Distriction ાં 1 કે શોહો IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for The Northern Fredhautelearth FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS (Dojug Cias.) 1 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CRIMINAL CASE NO. 98-00044 3 Plaintiff, Thursday, January 27, 2000 Garapan, Saipan vs. 5 SOON OH KWON, MO YOUNG KWON, REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT and YING YU MENG, OF 6 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO Defendants. WITHDRAW PLEAS AND MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL 8 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALEX R. MUNSON 9 CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MORTHERM MARIANA ISLANDS 10 APPEARANCES: 11 For Plaintiff: Lou de Baca, Prosecuting Attorney, appearing telephonically 12 U. S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division, Criminal Section 13 601 D Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20503
Telephone: (202) 514-3204
Facsimile: (202) 514-8336 14 15 and Gregory Baka, Local Counsel 16 Assistant United states Attorney NORTHERN MARIANAS DISTRICT 17 Horiguchi Building, Third Floor P. O. BOX 500377 18 Saipan, MP 96950-0377 Telephone: (670) 236-2986 19 Facsimile: (670) 236-2945 20 For Defendants: Joseph A. Arriola, Esq. PPP 678, PMB 10000 Soon Oh Kwon. 21 Garapah, Saipan 96950-8900 Mo Young Kwon, & 22 Telephone: (670) 233-5501/02 Ying Yu Meng Facsimile: (670) 233-5503 23

> SANAE N. SHMULL Official Court Reporter P. O. BOX 5128 SAIPAN, MP 96950-5128

A00036

BŦ

25

For Defendant: Richard W. Pierce, Esq. WHITE, PIERCE, MAILMAN & NUTTING Mo Young Kwon P. O. Box 5222 San Jose, Saipan, MP 96950 Telephone: (670) 234-6547/6548/8604 Facsimile: (670) 234-9537 For Defendant: Mark Williams, Esq. (for Mr. Smith)
Soon Oh Kwon P. O. Box 5133 Soon Oh Kwon Saipan, MP 96950-5133 Telephone: (670) 233-3334 Facsimile: (670) 233-3336 For Defendant: Jay H. Sorensen, Esq. P. O. Box 1184 Ying Yu Meng

Saipan, MP 96950-1184 Telephone: (670) 234-1414/15/16 Facsimile: (670) 234-1417

Also Present : Defendants Soon Oh Kwon, Mo Young Kwon & Ms. Ying Yu Meng

Rorean Interpreter: Kwon, Soon Young

SANAE N. SHMULL Official Court Reporter P. O.BOX 5128 SAIPAN, MP 96950-5128

A00037

GARAPAN, SAIPAN, THURSDAY, JANUARY 27, 2000 - 10:00 A.M.

3

5

6

7

THE CLERK: Pleas rise. The United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands is now open and ready for transaction of business; the Honorable Alex R. Munson, Chief Judge presiding.

THE COURT: Good morning, counsel, ladies and gentlemen. Please be seated.

8 9 THE CLERK: Your Honor, please, this is Criminal Case 98-00044, United States of America v. Kwon, Soon Oh, Kwon, Mo Young, and Meng, Ying Yu, coming up for a hearing on a Motion to Withdraw as Counsel and Motion to Withdraw Guilty Pleas.

10 11 12

Will Counsel, please, state your appearance?

13 14

MR. de BACA: Your Honor, for the United States, Lou de Baca on the phone and Gregory Baka in court.

15

THE COURT: Thank you.

16

MR. ARRIOLA: Your Honor, for the defendants, Joseph A. $\mbox{Arriola}$.

17 18

MR. PIERCE: Dick Pierce on behalf of White, Pierce, Mailman & Nutting in the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel.

19

MR. SORENSEN: Jay Sorensen.

21

MR. WILLIAMS: Mark Williams appearing for Eric Smith.

22 23 THE COURT: All right, the record will also reflect that all three defendants are present in court with Mr. Arriola at defense counsel table.

24 25

Are you an interpreter-translator?

4000kg

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And what is your name, please?

THE INTERPRETER: My name is Kwon, last name, Soon Young.

THE COURT: I would ask the clerk to please administer the oath to Mr. Kwon.

THE CLERK: Mr. Kwon, please rise and raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear that you will justly, truly, fairly, and impartially act as an interpreter in this case now before this court, so help you God?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE COURT: Before I hear from anybody, I have a tentative ruling to announce and some reasons for that ruling.

The tentative ruling, obviously, would be to allow Mr. Arriola to act as counsel for the three defendants if the three defendants can satisfy the court that they have knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived any conflicts and problems that there might be.

The other tentative ruling would be to not allow Mr. Pierce, Mr. Sorensen, or Mr. Smith to be relieved as counsel of record in the sense that I would appoint Mr. Pierce as counsel for the court to sit by in the event that there is any problem at sentencing, because it is the tentative ruling of the court not to grant the motion to withdraw the plea of guilty. I did not see any moving papers, any reason that the court would allow the withdrawal of the plea.

RESCOA

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

25

Even if everything were true in the affidavits of the defendants, I do not believe that there would be good and just and sufficient reason to allow the defendants to withdraw their guilty pleas, because in court, under oath, they were advised of every aspect that is required for them to enter knowing, intelligent, and voluntary pleas. They assented to every element of the crime to which they pled guilty. They swore under oath that they were not under any threat or no one forced them to enter pleas of guilty, that no promises or assurances were made to them. They were advised of the maximum possible penalties. They were advised of their constitutional rights. They told the court that they were, that they understood those rights, that they didn't have any questions about those rights. And the court made a finding that the pleas were knowing, voluntarily, and intelligently made, and the court accepted those pleas. The court accepted the plea agreements and judged them guilty of the offense.

Although it was not stated in any opposition, I expect to hear from Mr. de Baca relative to any prejudice that may fall upon the government to try this case.

I know that's a long tentative ruling, but those are the court's thoughts. And I'll hear from Mr. Arriola at this time.

MR. ARRIOLA: Thank you, Your Honor. As set forth in our moving papers and the attached affidavits, we believe that even though they had appeared before Your Honor in a change of plea hearing, and that they stated their answers in the affirmative with (64) OA

1

6 8

5

10 11

12

9

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22 23

25

regards to their understanding of the plea, it is really based on the affidavits. Their contention is that they really were just following the advice of their attorneys. They have declared their innocence in the beginning and they've maintained that.

THE COURT: Well, now that's simply not true. You have just made a statement that they have maintained their innocence, and they had a hearing, each, that lasted over an hour where they admitted every element of the crime to which they pleaded guilty. So you can't say that.

MR. ARRIOLA: Your Honor, I'm just going by what the defendants have related to me that --

THE COURT: Did you review the transcript of the sentencing (sic) hearing on any of the defendants?

MR. ARRIOLA: No, Your Honor, I didn't; I didn't have time to do that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ARRIOLA: But I did have a very lengthy meeting with them, going over what had transpired here. And I am aware of Your Honor's statements about what, what questions were asked of the defendants. And I did discuss that with them, and they did admit that they did answer in the affirmative. But, again, their statement, they contend that despite all of their answers, they ADUCAL

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

just were in a state of shock and they were in fear. They feared because they were in fear of all the charges, the fear of the statements that were made by the U.S. Government's attorneys, and even by their own attorneys. And it seemed to them, at least, during those times that they had a hopeless case and didn't know what to do.

They did answer, Your Honor, "yes." I know that you went through the whole process and that's because you wanted to make sure that it's knowing and voluntary. But that's not what they stated to me. They just simply didn't know what to do. They were just following the advice. And even if they did understand the language through their interpreter, the language of all these charges and what Your Honor has stated, it seems like they didn't understand the implication of what they were getting themselves into.

THE COURT: Well, see, I don't see how you can stand here and express that to the court when you did not review the transcript because the court painstakingly explained to them exactly what the maximum penalty was including incarceration, fine, restitution, disgorgement of profits of supervised release, of deportation. And to say that they didn't understand that when they expressed that they did under oath, it's very hard for me to accept.

RESCOA

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

MR. ARRIOLA: Your Honor, all they ask is that they have their day in court. I don't think there's any prejudice to the government. They have done their, they prepared thoroughly in this case. I think that if they believe -- based on the affidavits that they, that they have a good case, then they should just go forward with their burden and just allow the defendants an opportunity to defend themselves in this court.

THE COURT: I also forgot to mention that I want to throw in the equation, number one, if they do change their position that they are innocent of all charges, that they cannot in all probability get the three-point reduction for acceptance of responsibility. That's totally inconsistent.

The other concern that I have is if they have hired you after they have expressed to the court that they don't have the financial wherewithal to hire private attorneys, and that they were represented under the Civil Justice Act, and their attorneys were paid by the court, if they have the financial wherewithal, I would expect that they pay their attorneys' fees at their attorneys regular rate, not under the court's Civil Justice Act rate.

So I want to ask you about that. They hired you and I assume you're charging your regular fees?

MR. ARRIOLA: That's correct, Your Honor. I did inquire about A00043

22

23

24

25

that because I was also concerned about the fact that, that this court did appoint Mr. Smith and Mr. Sorensen as the court appointed counsel for Meng, Ying Yu and Kwon, Soon Oh, and I inquired about that in our meetings. What I understood, based on my clients' representations, is that they initially had retained Mr. Pierce and the initial agreement was for Mr. Pierce to represent all three defendants. And then there was the court appointed counsels (sic). And according to them, it was simply that, the representation I got was that the court felt that there may be potential conflict among the three defendants, and on that basis appointed the counsel. But initially when this case started, they approached Mr. Pierce and asked that Mr. Pierce represent all three of them. That's my understanding.

THE COURT: Well, but that doesn't answer my question. My question is, are they paying you at your regular rate?

MR. ARRIOLA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, -~

MR. de Baca: Your Honor, if I may speak to this very briefly, and obviously this is something that we're not necessarily going to get into the middle of on the part of the government. But it seems to me as well, from looking at the presentence reports, that the defendants reiterated their poverty in their sworn interviews, or

ADCOMA